
Supplementary Materials

“Adaptive SVRG Methods under Error Bound Conditions with Unknown Growth Parameter”

Yi Xu[†], Qihang Lin[‡], Tianbao Yang[†]

[†]Department of Computer Science, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

[‡]Department of Management Sciences, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
 {yi-xu, qihang-lin, tianbao-yang}@uiowa.edu

1 Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2. *Assume that the problem (1) satisfies the HEB condition with $\theta \in (0, 1/2]$ and $F(x_0) - F_* \leq \epsilon_0$, where x_0 is an initial solution. Let $\eta = 1/(36L)$, and $T_1 \geq 81Lc^2(1/\epsilon_0)^{1-2\theta}$. Then Algorithm 1 ensures*

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(R)}) - F_*] \leq (1/2)^R \epsilon_0. \quad (11)$$

In particular, by running Algorithm 1 with $R = \lceil \log_2 \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon} \rceil$, we have $\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(R)}) - F_] \leq \epsilon$, and the computational complexity for achieving an ϵ -optimal solution in expectation is $O(n \log(\epsilon_0/\epsilon) + Lc^2 \max\{\frac{1}{\epsilon^{1-2\theta}}, \log(\epsilon_0/\epsilon)\})$.*

We need the following lemma to prove Theorem 2, which has been established in previous work [2].

Lemma 3. *For the r -th outer loop of Algorithm 1, for any $x_* \in \Omega_*$ we have*

$$2\eta(1 - 4L\eta)T_r \mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r)}) - F(x_*)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{x}^{(r-1)} - x_*\|_2^2] + 8L\eta^2(T_r + 1)\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F(x_*)]. \quad (12)$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Denote by $\epsilon_r = \epsilon_0/2^r$. We will prove (11) by induction. Assume that $\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F(x_*)] \leq \epsilon_{r-1}$, which is true for $r = 1$. Let x_* in Lemma 3 be the closest optimal solution to $\bar{x}^{(r-1)}$. Taking expectation over all random variables on both sides of (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r)}) - F_*] &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta(1 - 4L\eta)T_r} \mathbb{E}\|\bar{x}^{(r-1)} - x_*\|_2^2 + \frac{4L\eta(T_r + 1)}{(1 - 4L\eta)T_r} \mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F_*] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta(1 - 4L\eta)T_r} c^2 \mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F_*]^{2\theta} + \frac{4L\eta(T_r + 1)}{(1 - 4L\eta)T_r} \mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F_*] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta(1 - 4L\eta)T_r} c^2 (\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F_*])^{2\theta} + \frac{4L\eta(T_r + 1)}{(1 - 4L\eta)T_r} \mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F_*], \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality uses the HEB condition and the last inequality uses the concavity of $x^{2\theta}$ for $x \geq 0$ and $2\theta \leq 1$. By noting the values of $\eta = \frac{1}{36L}$ and $T_r \geq 81Lc^2\epsilon_{r-1}^{2\theta-1}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\eta(1 - 4L\eta)T_r} c^2 \epsilon_{r-1}^{2\theta} \leq \frac{\epsilon_{r-1}}{4}, \quad \frac{4L\eta(T_r + 1)}{(1 - 4L\eta)T_r} \epsilon_{r-1} \leq \frac{\epsilon_{r-1}}{4}.$$

Thus $\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{x}^{(r)}) - F_*] \leq \frac{\epsilon_{r-1}}{2} \triangleq \epsilon_r$. We can complete the proof in light of $R = \lceil \log_2 \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon} \rceil$. \square

2 Proof of Lemma 3

Proof. First, we can write the update of $x_t^{(r)} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \|x - (x_{t-1}^{(r)} - \eta g_t^{(r)})\|_2^2 + \eta \Psi(x)$, and we know that $\frac{1}{2} \|x - (x_{t-1}^{(r)} - \eta g_t^{(r)})\|_2^2 + \eta \Psi(x)$ is 1-strongly convex w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$ in terms of x . By the first-order optimality condition, for any x we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x - (x_{t-1}^{(r)} - \eta g_t^{(r)})\|_2^2 + \eta \Psi(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|x_t^{(r)} - (x_{t-1}^{(r)} - \eta g_t^{(r)})\|_2^2 + \eta \Psi(x_t^{(r)}) + \frac{1}{2} \|x_t^{(r)} - x\|_2^2.$$

Rewrite above inequality, then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \Psi(x_t^{(r)}) - \eta \Psi(x) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_t^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_t^{(r)} - x_{t-1}^{(r)}\|_2^2 + \eta \langle g_t^{(r)}, x - x_t^{(r)} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_t^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 + \eta \langle g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), x - x_t^{(r)} \rangle \\ &\quad + \eta \langle \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x_t^{(r)} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|x_t^{(r)} - x_{t-1}^{(r)}\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \eta \langle \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), x - x_{t-1}^{(r)} \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Since f is L -smooth and $0 < \eta \leq \frac{1}{L}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_t^{(r)}) - f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}) &\leq \langle \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), x_t^{(r)} - x_{t-1}^{(r)} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x_t^{(r)} - x_{t-1}^{(r)}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \langle \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), x_t^{(r)} - x_{t-1}^{(r)} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_t^{(r)} - x_{t-1}^{(r)}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\eta \langle \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x_t^{(r)} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|x_t^{(r)} - x_{t-1}^{(r)}\|_2^2 \leq \eta [f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}) - f(x_t^{(r)})]. \tag{14}$$

By the convexity of f , we get

$$\langle \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), x - x_{t-1}^{(r)} \rangle \leq f(x) - f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}). \tag{15}$$

Plugging in inequalities (14) and (15) into inequality (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_t^{(r)}) - F(x) &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_t^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \langle g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), x_t^{(r)} - x \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_t^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \langle g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), \hat{x}_t^{(r)} - x \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), x_t^{(r)} - \hat{x}_t^{(r)} \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_t^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \langle g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), \hat{x}_t^{(r)} - x \rangle \\ &\quad + \|g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)})\|_2 \|x_t^{(r)} - \hat{x}_t^{(r)}\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_t^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \langle g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), \hat{x}_t^{(r)} - x \rangle \\ &\quad + \|g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)})\|_2 \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - \eta g_t^{(r)} - (x_{t-1}^{(r)} - \eta \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}))\|_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_t^{(r)} - x\|_2^2 - \langle g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), \hat{x}_t^{(r)} - x \rangle \\ &\quad + \eta \|g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)})\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\hat{x}_t^{(r)} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \|x - (x_{t-1}^{(r)} - \eta \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}))\|_2^2 + \eta \Psi(x)$. Please notice that the update of $\hat{x}_t^{(r)}$ is not used in the Algorithm, but only for analysis. Letting $x = x_*$ and taking expectation over both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta \mathbb{E}[F(x_t^{(r)}) - F(x_*)] &\leq \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2 - \mathbb{E}[\|x_t^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2] + 2\eta^2 \mathbb{E}[\|g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)})\|_2^2] \\ &\leq \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2 - \mathbb{E}[\|x_t^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2] \\ &\quad + 8L\eta^2 [F(x_{t-1}^{(r)}) - F(x_*) + F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F(x_*)], \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\mathbb{E}[\langle g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)}), \hat{x}_t^{(r)} - x \rangle] = 0$ and use Corollary 3.5 in [2] to upper bound the expected variance $\mathbb{E}[\|g_t^{(r)} - \nabla f(x_{t-1}^{(r)})\|_2^2]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|x_t^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2] &\leq \|x_{t-1}^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2 - 2\eta\mathbb{E}[F(x_t^{(r)}) - F(x_*)] \\ &\quad + 8L\eta^2[F(x_{t-1}^{(r)}) - F(x_*) + F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F(x_*)]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

For a fixed r , by summing the previous inequality over $t = 1, \dots, T$ and taking expectation with respect to the history of random variables sequence i_1, i_2, \dots, i_T , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2\eta(1 - 4L\eta) \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[F(x_t^{(r)}) - F(x_*)] \\ &\leq \|x_0^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2 - \mathbb{E}[\|x_T^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2] - 2\eta\mathbb{E}[F(x_T^{(r)}) - F(x_*)] \\ &\quad + 8L\eta^2[F(x_0^{(r)}) - F(x_*) + T(F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F(x_*))] \\ &\leq \|x_0^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2 + 8L\eta^2[F(x_0^{(r)}) - F(x_*) + T(F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F(x_*))] \\ &= \|x_0^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2 + 8L\eta^2(T+1)[F(x_0^{(r)}) - F(x_*)], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the last inequality uses the facts that $-\mathbb{E}[\|x_T^{(r)} - x_*\|_2^2] \leq 0$ and $-2\eta\mathbb{E}[F(x_T^{(r)}) - F(x_*)] \leq 0$, and the last equality uses $x_0^{(r)} = \bar{x}^{(r-1)}$. By the convexity of $F(x)$ and the definition of $\bar{x}^{(r)}$ and $x_0^{(r)} = \bar{x}^{(r-1)}$ we have

$$2\eta(1 - 4L\eta)TE[F(\bar{x}^{(r)}) - F(x_*)] \leq \|\bar{x}^{(r-1)} - x_*\|_2^2 + 8L\eta^2(T+1)[F(\bar{x}^{(r-1)}) - F(x_*)]. \quad (19)$$

□

3 Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 3. Assume that the problem (1) satisfies the HEB with $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$ and $F(x_0) - F_* \leq \epsilon_0$, where x_0 is an initial solution, and $c_0 \leq c$. Let $\epsilon \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$, $R = \lceil \log_2 \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon} \rceil$ and $T_1^{(1)} = 81Lc_0^2(1/\epsilon_0)^{1-2\theta}$. Then with at most a total number of $S = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}-\theta} \log_2 \left(\frac{c}{\epsilon_0} \right) \right\rceil + 1$ calls of SVRG^{HEB} in Algorithm 2, we find a solution $x^{(S)}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[F(x^{(S)}) - F_*] \leq \epsilon$. The computational complexity of SVRG^{HEB-RS} for obtaining such an ϵ -optimal solution is $O\left(n \log(\epsilon_0/\epsilon) \log(c/c_0) + \frac{Lc^2}{\epsilon^{1-2\theta}}\right)$.

Proof. Denote by $c_{s+1} = 2^{\frac{1-2\theta}{2}} c_s$. Since $c \geq c_0$ and $\frac{2}{1-2\theta} > 2$, we have $F(x_0) - F_* \leq \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{c}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}}$. Following the proof of Theorem 2, we can show that

$$\mathbb{E}[F(x^{(1)}) - F_*] \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^R \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{c}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}} = \epsilon \left(\frac{c}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}} \quad (20)$$

with $R = \lceil \log_2 \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon} \rceil$ and $T_1^{(1)} = 81Lc_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_0}\right)^{1-2\theta} = 81Lc^2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \left(\frac{c}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}}}\right)^{1-2\theta}$. Next, since $\epsilon \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$,

then we have $\mathbb{E}[F(x^{(1)}) - F_*] \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \left(\frac{c}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}} = \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{c}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}}$. By running SVRG^{heb} from $x^{(1)}$ with $T_1^{(2)} = 81Lc_1^2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_0}\right)^{1-2\theta} = 81Lc^2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \left(\frac{c}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}}}\right)^{1-2\theta}$, Theorem 2 ensures that

$$\mathbb{E}[F(x^{(2)}) - F_*] \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^R \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{c}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}} = \epsilon \left(\frac{c}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}}. \quad (21)$$

By continuing the process, with $S = \left\lceil \frac{2}{1-2\theta} \log_2 \left(\frac{c}{c_0} \right) \right\rceil + 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(x^{(S)}) - F_*] \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^R \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{c}{c_{S-1}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}} = \epsilon \left(\frac{c}{c_{S-1}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\theta}} \leq \epsilon. \quad (22)$$

The total number of iterations for the S calls of SVRG^{heb} is upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{total}} &= \sum_{s=0}^{S-1} \left(nR + \sum_{r=1}^R T_1^{(s+1)} 2^{(1-2\theta)(r-1)} \right) = nRS + \sum_{s=0}^{S-1} T_1^{(s+1)} \sum_{r=1}^R 2^{(1-2\theta)(r-1)} \\ &= nRS + \sum_{s=0}^{S-1} T_1^{(1)} 2^{(1-2\theta)s} \sum_{r=1}^R 2^{(1-2\theta)(r-1)} \\ &\leq O \left(n \log(\epsilon_0/\epsilon) \log(c/c_0) + \left(\frac{c}{c_0}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon}\right)^{1-2\theta} T_1^{(1)} \right) \\ &\leq O \left(n \log(\epsilon_0/\epsilon) \log(c_0) + \frac{Lc^2}{\epsilon^{1-2\theta}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Omitted Proof of Lemma 2

Lemma 4. Let $\bar{x} = \arg \min_{x \in \Omega} \langle \nabla f(\tilde{x}), x - \tilde{x} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x - \tilde{x}\|_2^2 + \Psi(x)$. Assume that $f(x)$ is L -smooth, we have

$$F(\tilde{x}) - F_* \geq \frac{L}{2} \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|^2. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Since $f(x)$ is L -smooth, then we get

$$f(\bar{x}) - f(\tilde{x}) \leq \langle \nabla f(\tilde{x}), \bar{x} - \tilde{x} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|_2^2. \quad (24)$$

By the definition of \bar{x} and the strong convexity of $L(x) = \langle \nabla f(\tilde{x}), x - \tilde{x} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x - \tilde{x}\|_2^2 + \Psi(x)$, we have

$$\langle \nabla f(\tilde{x}), \bar{x} - \tilde{x} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|_2^2 + \Psi(\bar{x}) \leq \Psi(\tilde{x}) - \frac{L}{2} \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|_2^2. \quad (25)$$

Combining inequalities (24) and (25) with the fact that $F(x) = f(x) + \Psi(x)$ yields

$$F(\tilde{x}) - F(\bar{x}) \geq \frac{L}{2} \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|^2.$$

We complete the proof by using $F(\bar{x}) \geq F_*$. □

5 Proof of Lemma 1

Lemma 1. Let $\bar{x} = \arg \min_{x \in \Omega} \langle \nabla f(\tilde{x}), x - \tilde{x} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x - \tilde{x}\|_2^2 + \Psi(x)$. Then under the QEB condition of the problem (1), we have

$$F(\bar{x}) - F_* \leq (L + L_f)^2 c^2 \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|_2^2. \quad (26)$$

Before delving into the detailed analysis, we first present some lemmas.

Lemma 5 (Theorem 1 [1]). For a constant $L > 0$ and $y \in \Omega$, if

$$v = \arg \min_{z \in \Omega} \left\{ f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y), z - y \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|z - y\|_2^2 + \Psi(z) \right\},$$

then for any $x \in \Omega$,

$$\langle F'(v), x - v \rangle \geq -(L + L_f) \|v - y\|_2 \|v - x\|_2. \quad (27)$$

Proof. By the first order optimality condition, for any $x \in \Omega$,

$$\langle \nabla f(y) + \Psi'(v) + L(v - y), x - v \rangle \geq 0,$$

where $\Psi'(v) \in \partial\Psi(v)$, the set of subgradient of Ψ at v . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla f(v) + \Psi'(v), v - x \rangle &\leq \langle \nabla f(v) - \nabla f(y) - L(v - y), v - x \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla f(v) - \nabla f(y), v - x \rangle - L\langle v - y, v - x \rangle \\ &\leq \|\nabla f(v) - \nabla f(y)\|_2 \|v - x\|_2 + L\|v - y\|_2 \|v - x\|_2 \\ &\leq (L_f + L)\|v - y\|_2 \|v - x\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses the smoothness of f . We complete the proof by using $F'(v) = \nabla f(v) + \Psi'(v)$. \square

Lemma 6. *Suppose that the problem (1) satisfies the QEB condition (2) and then for any y, v defined in Lemma 5, we have*

$$\|v - v_*\|_2 \leq (L_f + L)c^2\|v - y\|_2, \quad (28)$$

where v_* is the closest optimal solution to v .

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L_f + L)\|v - y\|_2 \|v - v_*\|_2 &\geq \langle \nabla f(v) + \Psi'(v), v - v_* \rangle \\ &= \langle F'(v), v - x_* \rangle \geq F(v) - F_* \geq \frac{1}{c^2}\|v - v_*\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality uses the convexity of F and the last inequality uses the quadratic error bound condition (2). \square

Lemma 7. *Assume that the problem (1) satisfies the QEB. Let $\bar{x} = \arg \min_{x \in \Omega} \langle \nabla f(\tilde{x}), x - \tilde{x} \rangle + \frac{L}{2}\|x - \tilde{x}\|_2^2 + \Psi(x)$. Then we have*

$$F(\bar{x}) - F_* \leq (L + L_f)^2 c^2 \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|_2^2. \quad (29)$$

Proof. Let x_* denote the closest optimal solution to $\bar{x}^{(s+1)}$. By Lemma 6 in the supplement, we have

$$\|\bar{x} - x_*\| \leq (L + L_f)c^2\|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|.$$

By Lemma 5 in the supplement and the convexity of F , we have

$$F(\bar{x}) - F_* \leq -\langle F'(\bar{x}), x_* - \bar{x} \rangle \leq (L + L_f)\|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|\|\bar{x} - x_*\|.$$

Combining the two inequalities above together leads to

$$F(\bar{x}) - F_* \leq (L + L_f)^2 c^2 \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\|_2^2. \quad \square$$

References

- [1] Y. Nesterov. Gradient methods for minimizing composite functions. *Mathematical Programming*, 140(1):125–161, 2013.
- [2] L. Xiao and T. Zhang. A proximal stochastic gradient method with progressive variance reduction. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 24(4):2057–2075, 2014.