## 22C:031 Algorithms Midterm

This is a closed book exam. You have an hour and fifteen minutes.

1. Give an asymptotically tight bound on the worst case running time of the following algorithm as a function of n, the number of elements in input array A and output array C. (Express running time as  $\Theta(f(n))$  for some appropriate f.) (2 points)

```
For i from 1 to n do
C[i] := 0
endfor
For i from 1 to n do
For j from i to n do
C[i] := C[i] + A[j]
endfor
endfor
Return C
```

2. Give an asymptotic upper bound on the worst case running time of the following algorithm as a function of n, the number of elements in input array A and output array C. (Express running time as O(f(n)) for some appropriate f.) Pick as good an f as you can. (3 points)

```
For i from 1 to n do
C[i] := 0
endfor
For i from 1 to n do
j:= i
While j is less than or equal to n do
C[i] := C[i] + A[j]
j:= 2 * j
endwhile
endfor
Return C
```

- 3. In each of the following cases, say whether f(n) is O(g(n)) and whether f(n) is  $\Omega(g(n))$ . For example, if  $f(n) = n^2$  and  $g(n) = n^3$ , then f(n) is O(g(n)) and f(n) is not  $\Omega(g(n))$ . (2 points)
  - (a)  $f(n) = n \log n, g(n) = n^2$ .

- (b)  $f(n) = 100n^2 + 300n, g(n) = n^2$ .
- (c)  $f(n) = \frac{n^2}{3} 200n + 120000, g(n) = n^2.$
- (d)  $f(n) = 1.17^n$  and  $g(n) = 100n^2$ .
- 4. Consider the stable matching problem involving the three men  $m_1, m_2, m_3$  and the three women  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  with the following preferences:
  - $m_1: w_1 > w_3 > w_2$
  - $m_2: w_1 > w_2 > w_3$
  - $m_3: w_3 > w_1 > w_2$
  - $w_1: m_2 > m_3 > m_1$
  - $w_2: m_1 > m_2 > m_3$
  - $w_3: m_1 > m_3 > m_2$

Is the perfect matching that matches  $m_1$  to  $w_1$ ,  $m_2$  to  $w_2$ , and  $m_3$  to  $w_3$  stable? If not, identify an instability, and describe a stable matching. (2 points)

- 5. Consider the two recursive algorithms we discussed for multiplying two n-polynomials when n is an integer power of 2. (3 points)
  - (a) When we call the  $\Theta(n^2)$  recursive algorithm for multiplying two *n*-polynomials, what is the total number of base case instances that are solved? Recall that in a base case instance we multiply two 1-polynomials. You can give the answer as an exact expression in terms of *n*, or in the form  $\Theta(f(n))$  for some appropriate f.
  - (b) When we call the  $O(n^{\log_2 3})$  recursive algorithm for multiplying two *n*-polynomials, what is the total number of base case instances that are solved?
- 6. Consider the  $O(n \log^2 n)$  algorithm we discussed in class (or the  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm in the textbook) for finding the closest pair in a given set P of n points in the plane. We partitioned P into two sets  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  of roughly equal size so that points in  $P_1$ have x-coordinates that are less than or equal to the x-coordinate of each point in  $P_2$ . We recursively computed the closest pair within  $P_1$  and the closest pair within  $P_2$  and then followed these up by considering pairs in  $P_1 \times P_2$ .

Suppose the algorithm design is changed so that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are obtained by partitioning according to y-coordinates rather than x-coordinates. That is, we sort P by y-coordinates, let  $P_1$  be the first half of P in this sorted order and  $P_2$  be the second half. Describe how the rest of the algorithm is to be modified – give the pseudocode. (3 points)