

CS:3330 Spring 2017: Solutions to Homework 7

Sriram Pemmaraju

Problem 1

Initially: $dist(s) = 0$, $dist(v) = \infty$ for all $v \neq s$, $pred(v) = NULL$ for all v .

Phase 1: Queue at the start of Phase 1: (s) ; Edges that are relaxed (in this order): $(s, a), (s, b)$;
New $dist(\cdot)$ and $pred(\cdot)$ values: $dist(a) = 4$, $dist(b) = 5$, $pred(a) = s$, $pred(b) = s$.

Phase 2: Queue at the start of Phase 2: (a, b) ; Edges that are relaxed (in this order): $(a, c), (b, a), (b, d)$;
New $dist(\cdot)$ and $pred(\cdot)$ values: $dist(c) = 6$, $dist(a) = 2$, $dist(d) = 4$, $pred(c) = a$, $pred(a) = b$,
 $pred(d) = b$.

Phase 3: Queue at the start of Phase 3: (c, a, d) ; Edges that are relaxed (in this order): $(c, e), (a, c)$;
New $dist(\cdot)$ and $pred(\cdot)$ values: $dist(e) = 5$, $dist(c) = 4$, $pred(e) = c$, $pred(c) = a$.

Phase 4: Queue at the start of Phase 4: (e, c) ; Edges that are relaxed (in this order): (c, e) ; New
 $dist(\cdot)$ and $pred(\cdot)$ values: $dist(e) = 3$, $pred(e) = c$.

Phase 5: Queue at the start of Phase 5: (e) ; No edges are relaxed and so no $dist(\cdot)$ values or
 $pred(\cdot)$ values are updated.

Problem 2

Instead of using a min-heap priority queue implementation of the “bag” data structure, we implement the “bag” as an array $A[1, \dots, (n-1)W]$ such that for any j , $1 \leq j \leq (n-1)W$, $A[j]$ contains the set of all vertices in the bag with $dist(\cdot)$ equal to j . We also maintain an index called **current**, that is initialized to 1. This index always points to the slot in A that we will look at next to find a vertex with smallest $dist(\cdot)$ value in the “bag.”

We now need to describe two operations on this array:

- **Finding and removing a vertex with smallest $dist(\cdot)$ value from the bag.** We scan A starting at index **current** until we reach a slot in A that is non-empty. We pick an arbitrary vertex from the set stored at this slot and remove it from the set. The vertex chosen in this manner has the smallest $dist(\cdot)$ value among all vertices in the bag. Since our scan of A always moves to the right, the total amount of time we spending in pulling out all vertices from the bag is $O(n \cdot W)$.
- **Relaxing edges.** When a vertex u is removed from the bag, we process all edges (u, v) outgoing from u and relax these if necessary. For each edge, (u, v) that is relaxed, $dist(v)$ falls and so v has to be removed from its old slot in A and moved to a new slot. All this can be done in $O(1)$ time because we know the old (larger) $dist(\cdot)$ value of v and also the new (smaller) $dist(\cdot)$ value and we can use these $dist(\cdot)$ values as indices in A . Thus the total amount of time we spend relaxing edges outgoing from u is $O(\text{degree}(u))$. When this is summed over all vertices u , we get a running time of $O(m)$.

Thus the total running time of the algorithm is $O(nW + m)$.

Problem 3

Let $G = (V, E)$ be the given, connected, edge-weighted graph. Let $w(e)$ denote the weight of an edge $e \in E$. Create a new edge-weighted graph G' by replacing each edge weight $w(e)$ by $-w(e)$ (i.e., the negation of $w(e)$). Otherwise, G and G' are identical. Now compute an MST on G' using your favorite MST algorithm. The claim is that the minimum weight spanning tree T of G' is a maximum weight spanning tree of G . This follows from the fact that if T has total weight W in G' , then it has weight $-W$ in G . Therefore, if there were a heavier spanning tree in G , then there would have been a lighter spanning tree in G' that the MST algorithm did not find – a contradiction. Using any of the standard MST algorithms, we compute a maximum spanning tree in $O(m \log n)$ time.

Problem 4

Instead of a min-heap priority queue, we maintain an array $A[1, \dots, n]$ to implement the “bag” data structure. In each slot $A[j]$ we maintain the $dist(\cdot)$ value of vertex j . Thus, the n vertices of the graph serve as indices into this array. Then finding and removing a vertex with smallest $dist(\cdot)$ value from the bag simply requires a scan of the entire array. This takes $O(n)$ time per vertex that is removed and therefore takes $O(n^2)$ total time. When a vertex u is removed from the bag, we process all edges (u, v) outgoing from u and relax these if necessary. For each edge, (u, v) that is relaxed, $dist(v)$ falls and needs to be updated in A . Using v as an index into A allows us to do this in $O(1)$ time. Thus the total amount of time we spend relaxing edges outgoing from u is $O(\text{degree}(u))$. When this is summed over all vertices u , we get a running time of $O(m) = O(n^2)$. Therefore, the total running time is $O(n^2)$.