

Binary Search



MARCH 13TH, 2013

The Search Problem



- One of the most common computational problems (along with *sorting*) is *searching*.
- In its simplest form, the input to the search problem is a list L and an item k and we are asked if k belongs to L . (The `in` operator in Python.)
- In a common variant, we might be asked for the index of k in L , if k does belong to L . (The `L.index()` method in Python.)

Linear Search



- If we don't know anything about L, then the only way to solve the problem is by scanning the list L completely in some systematic manner.
- This takes time proportional to the size of the list, in the *worst case*.
- And for this reason, this is called *linear search*.
- Linear search can be quite inefficient for many applications because search is such a common operation in programs.
- The Python `in` operator and `list.index()` method perform linear search because they are expected to work on any list.

Binary Search



- If the list L is known to be *sorted* (in ascending or descending order), then we can use a much more efficient algorithm called *binary search*.
- Binary search is so much more efficient than linear search that it provides a significant incentive to keep lists sorted.
- More on the efficiency of binary search later.

Binary Search Algorithm



- Suppose that L is sorted in ascending order.
- Compare k with the middle element of L .
 - If $k == L[\text{middle}]$, we are done
 - If $k < L[\text{middle}]$, we need to search the first half of L
 - If $k > L[\text{middle}]$, we need to search the second half of L
- Notice that after one comparison, the size of the problem shrinks to $1/2$ of what it was earlier.
- (Compare this with linear search where after one comparison, the problem size reduced by just 1 element.)

Binary Search Algorithm (more details)



- Explicitly maintain two indices `left` and `right`.
- The sublist `L[left..right]` (inclusive) is what still remains to be searched.
- Initially, `left` is 0 and `right` is `len(L)-1`.
- Since we are interested in comparing `k` with the “middle” element, we maintain a third index called `mid` (set to $(left + right)/2$).
- After one comparison, either we find `k` or we look for it in the left half (`right = mid - 1`) or in the right half (`left = mid + 1`).

The function binarySearch



```
def binarySearch(L, k):
    left = 0
    right = len(L)-1

    # iterate while there is a sublist that needs to be searched
    while left <= right:
        mid = (left + right)/2 # index of the middle element

        # Comparisons and then adjusting the boundaries of
        # the sublist, if necessary
        if L[mid] == k:
            return mid # element is found at mid, so return this index
        elif L[mid] < k: # look for element in right half
            left = mid + 1
        elif L[mid] > k: # look for element in the left half
            right = mid -1

    return -1 # element is not found in the list
```

Execution Examples



binarySearch([1, 4, 11, 24, 24, 56, 60, 70], 65)

Slices searched:

0 7

4 7

6 7

7 7

Not found

binarySearch([1, 4, 11, 24, 24, 56, 60, 70], 4)

Slices searched:

0 7

0 2

Found

Worst Case Running Time



- Assume the worst case, i.e., we don't find k .
- After each comparison of k with $L[\text{mid}]$ the problem size shrinks to $1/2$ of what it was before the current iteration.

| Problem Size | Number of iterations completed |
|--------------|--------------------------------|
| N | 0 |
| $N/2^1$ | 1 |
| $N/2^2$ | 2 |
| $N/2^3$ | 3 |
| $N/2^4$ | 4 |

Worst Case Running Time (contd.)



- Thus after t iterations have been completed, the problem size has shrunk to $N/2^t$.
- Therefore, for the problem size to shrink to 1, we need

$$N = 2^t$$


$$t = \log_2 N$$

- Thus the worst case running time of binary search is logarithmic in the size of the list.

Example that shows the speed of Binary Search



- **Problem:** If we sample N times uniformly at random from the integers $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$, how many distinct elements will we get?
- Statisticians are interested in these kinds of questions.
- It is easy to write a simple Python program to get a sense of this.

Code using slow search



```
import random

L = []
for i in range(50000):
    L.append(random.randint(1,50000))

count = 0
for e in range(1, 50001):
    if e in L:
        count = count + 1

print count
```

Output



Time to build list is 0.129420042038

31733

Time to count distinct elements is 45.7874200344

Faster Code using Binary Search



```
import random
from binarySearch import *

L = []
for i in range(50000):
    L.append(random.randint(1,50000))

L.sort()

count = 0
for e in range(1, 50001):
    if binarySearch(L, e) >= 0:
        count = count + 1
```

Output



Time to build list is 0.125706195831

Time to sort list is 0.0273258686066

31717

Time to count distinct elements is 0.3523209095