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## What is an algorithm

A finite set (or sequence) of precise instructions for performing a computation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Example: Maxima finding } \\
& \text { procedure } \max \left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right. \text { : integers) } \\
& \max :=a_{1} \\
& \text { for } i:=2 \text { to } n \\
& \quad \text { if } \max <a_{i} \text { then } \max :=a_{i} \\
& \text { return } \max \{\text { the largest element }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Flowchart for maxima finding

start


## Time complexity of algorithms

Counts the largest number of basic operations required to execute an algorithm.

## Example: Maxima finding

procedure max (a1, a2, ..., an: integers)
max :=a1
1 operation
for $\mathrm{i}:=2$ to $n$
1 operation $i:=2$
if $\max <a 1$ then $\max :=a i \quad\{n-1$ times $\}$
\{2 ops +1 op to check if i>n+1 op to increment i\}
return max \{the largest element

The total number of operations is $4(n-1)+2=4 n-2$

## Time complexity of algorithms

Example of linear search (Search $x$ in a list $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \ldots a_{n}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k}:=1 & \{1 \text { op }\} \\
\text { while } \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n} \text { do } & \{\mathrm{n} \text { ops } \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}\} \\
\quad\left\{\text { if } \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { then found else } \mathrm{k}:=\mathrm{k}+1\right\} & \{2 \mathrm{n} \text { ops }+1 \text { op }\}
\end{array}
$$

search failed

The maximum number of operations is $\mathbf{3 n + 2}$. If we are lucky, then search can end even in the first iteration.

## Time complexity of algorithms

Binary search (Search $x$ in a sorted list $a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}<\ldots<a_{n}$ )
procedure binary search ( $x$ : integer, $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ : increasing integers)
$i:=1\{i$ is left endpoint of search interval $\}$
$j:=n\{j$ is right endpoint of search interval $\}$
while $i<j$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m:=\lfloor(i+j) / 2\rfloor \\
& \text { if } x>a_{m} \text { then } i:=m+1 \\
& \text { else } j:=m
\end{aligned}
$$

if $x=a_{i}$ then location $:=i$
else location $:=0 \quad\{$ search failed\}

How many operations? Roughly log n. Why?

## Bubble Sort

procedure bubblesort ( A : list of items)
$\mathrm{n}=$ length ( A )
repeat
for $i=1$ to $n-1$ do
if $A[i-1]>A[i]$ then $\operatorname{swap}(A[i-1], A[i])$
end if
end for
$\mathrm{n}:=\mathrm{n}-1$
until $n=0$
end procedure

## Bubble Sort

| First pass | $\square 3$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | Second pass | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\xrightarrow{+}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | ${ }_{3}$ | $\square 3$ | 1 |
|  | 4 | 4 | $\checkmark 4$ | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 1 | 1 | -1 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 5 |

Third pass \begin{tabular}{cc}
$\subset 2$ \& 1 <br>

1 \& | 2 |
| :---: |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 4 |
| 5 | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

Fourth pass


C: an interchange
( : pair in correct order
numbers in color
guaranteed to be in correct order
FIGURE 1 The Steps of a Bubble Sort.

## Bubble Sort

$\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 5\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 5 & \text { (first pass) }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & \text { (second pass) }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & \text { (third pass) }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & \text { (fourth pass) }\end{array}$
n -1 operations
n -2 operations
n-3 operations

1
The worst case time complexity is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n-1)+(n-2)+(n-3)+\ldots+2 \\
& =n(n-1) / 2-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Big-O notation

It is a measure of the growth of functions and often used to measure the complexity of algorithms.
DEF. Let $f$ and $g$ be functions from the set of integers (or real numbers) to the set of real numbers. Then $f$ is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}))$ if there are constants $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{k}$, such that

$$
|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)| \quad \text { for all } x>k
$$

Intuitively, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ grows "slower than" some multiple of $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$ as x grows without bound. Thus $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$ ) defines an upper bound of $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$.

## The Big-O notation



$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{2}+2 x+1=O\left(x^{2}\right) \\
& \text { Since }=4 x^{2}>x^{2}+4 x+1 \\
& \text { whenever } x>1,4 x^{2} \text { defines } \\
& \text { an upper bound of the } \\
& \text { growth of } x^{2}+2 x+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Defines an upper bound of the growth of functions

## The Big- $\Omega$ (omega) notation

DEF. Let $f$ and $g$ be functions from the set of integers (or real numbers) to the set of real numbers. Then $f$ is $\Omega(g(x))$ if there are constants C and k , such that

$$
|f(x)| \geq C|g(x)| \quad \text { for all } x>k
$$

Example. $7 x^{2}+9 x+4$ is $\Omega\left(x^{2}\right)$, since $7 x^{2}+9 x+4 \geq 1$. $x^{2}$ for all $x$ Thus $\Omega$ defines the lower bound of the growth of a function

Question. Is $7 x^{2}+9 x+4 \Omega(x)$ ?

## The Big-Theta ( $\Theta$ ) notation

DEF. Let $f$ and $g$ be functions from the set of integers (or real numbers) to the set of real numbers. Then $f$ is $\Theta(g(x))$ if there are constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ a positive real number $k$, such that

C1. $|g(x)| \leq|f(x)| \leq C 2 .|g(x)| \quad$ for all $x>k$

Example. $\quad 7 x^{2}+9 x+4$ is $\Theta\left(x^{2}\right)$,

$$
\text { since 1. } x^{2} \leq 7 x^{2}+9 x+4 \leq 8 . x^{2} \text { for all } x>10
$$

## Average case performance

EXAMPLE. Compute the average case complexity of the linear search algorithm.

$$
a_{1} a_{2} \quad a_{3} \quad a_{4} \quad a_{5} \ldots \ldots . a_{n} \text { (Search for } x \text { from this list) }
$$

If $x$ is the $1^{\text {st }}$ element then it takes 5 steps
If $x$ is the $2^{\text {nd }}$ element then it takes 8 steps
If $x$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ element then it takes $(3 i+2)$ steps
So, the average number of steps $=1 / n[5+8+\ldots+(3 n+2)]=$ ?

## Classification of complexity

| Complexity | Terminology |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Theta(1)$ | Constant complexity |
| $\Theta(\log n)$ | Logarithmic complexity |
| $\Theta(\log n)^{c}$ | Poly-logarithmic complexity |
| $\Theta(n)$ | Linear complexity |
| $\Theta\left(n^{c}\right)$ | Polynomial complexity |
| $\Theta\left(b^{n}\right)(b>1)$ | Exponential complexity |
| $\Theta(n!)$ | Factorial complexity |

We also use such terms when $\Theta$ is replaced by O (big-O)

## Exercise

Complexity of $\mathrm{n}^{5}$
Complexity of $2^{n}$
Complexity of log ( n !)
Complexity of $1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+\ldots+n^{2}$
$O\left(2^{n}\right)$
$\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{5}\right)$
$\Theta(n \log n)$
$\Omega\left(n^{3}\right)$

True or false?
True or false?
True or false?
True or false?"

Let $S=\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Think of an algorithm that generates all the subsets of three elements from $S$, and compute its complexity in big-O notation.

## Greedy Algorithms

In optimization problems, many algorithms that use the best choice at each step are called greedy algorithms.

Example. Devise an algorithm for making change for n cents using quarters, dimes, nickels, and pennies using the least number of total coins?

## Greedy Change-making Algorithm

Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{r}$ be the denomination of the coins, (and

$$
\text { for } i:=1 \text { to } r
$$

while $n \geq c_{i}$
begin
add a coin of value $c_{i}$ to the change $\mathrm{n}:=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}$
end

Let the coins be 1, 5, 10, 25 cents. For making 38 cents, you will use

1 quarter
1 dime
3 cents

The total count is 5 , and it is optimum.

Question. Is this optimal? Does it use the least number of coins?

## Greedy Change-making Algorithm

But if you don't use a nickel, and you make a change for
30 cents using the same algorithm, the you will use 1 quarter
and 5 cents (total 6 coins). But the optimum is 3 coins
(use 3 dimes!)

So, greedy algorithms produce results, but the results may be sub-optimal.

## Greedy Routing Algorithm



If you need to reach point $B$ from point $A$ in the fewest number of hops, Then which route will you take? If the knowledge is local, then you are tempted to use a greedy algorithm, and reach B in 5 hops, although it is possible to reach $B$ in only two hops.

## Other classification of problems

- Problems that have polynomial worst-case complexity are called tractable. Otherwise they are called intractable.
- Problems for which no solution exists are known as unsolvable problems (like the halting problem). Otherwise they are called solvable.
- Many solvable problems are believed to have the property that no polynomial time solution exists for them, but a solution, if known, can be checked in polynomial time. These belong to the class NP (as opposed to the class of tractable problems that belong to class P)


## Estimation of complexity

|  | 10 | 50 | 100 | 300 | 1000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 n$ | 50 | 250 | 500 | 1500 | 5000 |
| $n \times$ <br> $\log n$ | 33 | 282 | 665 | 2469 | 9966 |
| $n^{2}$ | 100 | 2500 | 10000 | 90000 | 1 million <br> $(7$ digits $)$ |
| $n^{3}$ | 1000 | 125000 | 1 million <br> (7 digits) | 27 million <br> $(8$ digits $)$ | 1 billion <br> $(10$ digits $)$ |
| $2^{n}$ | 1024 | a 16-digit <br> number | a 31-digit <br> number | a 91-digit <br> number | a 302-digit <br> number |
| $n!$ | 3.6 million <br> $(7$ digits) | a 65-digit <br> number | a 161-digit <br> number | a 623-digit <br> number | unimaginably <br> large |
| $n^{n}$ | 10 billion <br> $(11$ digits $)$ | an 85-digit <br> number | a 201-digit <br> number | a 744-digit <br> number | unimaginably <br> large |

(The number of protons in the known universe has 79 digits.)
(The number of microseconds since the Big Bang has 24 digits.)
Source: D. Harel. Algorithmics: The Spirit of Computing . Addison-Wesley, 2nd edition, 1992

## The Halting Problem

The Halting problem asks the question.
Given a program and an input to the program, determine if the program will eventually stop when it is given that input.

- Run the program with that input. If the program stops, then we know it stops.
- But if the program doesn't stop in a reasonable amount of time, then we cannot conclude that it won't stop. Maybe we didn't wait long enough!

The question is not decidable in general!

## The Traveling Salesman Problem

## An Instance of the

## Traveling Salesman Problem



Cost of Nearest Neighbor Path, AEDBCA $=550$

Starting from a node, you have to visit every other node and return To you starting point. Find the shortest route? NP-complete

## 3-Satisfiability Problem

Consider an expression like this:

$$
(x
$$

Does there exist an assignment of values of $x, y, z$ so that this formula is true? NP-Complete problem!

