# The Impact of Technology on Election Observation

Douglas W. Jones\* University of Iowa

VoComp, July 16-18, 2007 Portland Oregon

\*Partial support from NSF Grant CNS-052431 (ACCURATE) and from the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights.

# **Election Observation**

- Non Government Organizations
  - Carter Center
- Treaty Organizations
  - Organization of American States (OAS)
  - Council of Europe
  - Organizaton for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE)

#### International Election Law

#### Created by treaty

details depend on what treaties a nation signs

- The Helsinki Final Act of 1975
- Charter of Paris of 1990
  - binding on former NATO & Warsaw Pact everyone from Vancouver to Vladivostok
- Interamerican Democratic Charter of 2001
  - binding from Canada to Argentina

# Why Invite Observers

- To prove that you are obeying treaty
  - former Soviet republics invite OSCE observers
- To legitimize election or government
  Palestinians invited Council of Europe
- To provide baseline for observers
  - Mature democracies, US, Netherlands, France
  - (but each has faced criticism!)

# Election Observing Methodology

- Long Term Observers
  - Analyze local election law
  - Examine voting system
  - Determine what can be observed
  - Train short term observation team
- Short Term Observers
  - Large team for election day
- A large effort

#### **OSCE** Handbooks



Beyond Election Day Observation

OSCE ODIHR



Election Observation Handbook Fifth edition



#### **Broad-Based Standards**

#### DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES FOR INTERNATIONAL ELECTION OBSERVATION

and

#### CODE OF CONDUCT FOR INTERNATIONAL ELECTION OBSERVERS

Commemorated October 27, 2005, at the United Nations, New York

Endorsing Organizations as of October 24, 2005:	
African Union	
Asian Network fo	r Free Elections (ANFREL)
The Carter Center	r
Center for Elector	ral Promotion and Assistance (CAPEL)
Commonwealth S	ecretariat
Council of Europe through Law (Ven	e European Commission for Democracy ice Commission)
Council of Europe	e – Parliamentary Assembly
Electoral Institute	e of Southern Africa (EISA)
European Commi	ssion
European Networ (ENEMO)	k of Election Monitoring Organizations
etc!	

#### National Rules



#### Examples: Kazakhstan 2005

#### **Presidential Election**





# Kazakh Sailau Voting System

Very simple machine in booth





Stateless vote recorder, no knowledge of election context, no need to prep for election specifics.

#### **Polling Place Computer**



- Serves as E-pollbook
- Serves as E-ballot box
- Communicates with central election commission

## Sailau Smartcards

- Transmit ballot and election authorization to voting machine
- Transmit votes from voting machine
- Erase and reuse after vote recording





- Smart card has flash memory + small CPU
- Not COTS firmware contents apparently unknown to election office.

#### Sailau Network



Server writes USB key

Download election def

Periodic turnout upload

At end of day, upload results

USB key has small CPU + flash memory.

Firmware is not COTS, uses customized PK crypto system Details not know to election office.



# End-to-End Voter Verification

- Voter may request (before ballot commit) to verify ballot
- Voting terminal issues voter 4-digit verification code, records code on smartcard with voted ballot
- At end of day, verification codes and corresponding votes are printed and posted at the polling place
- 2 consecutive OSCE ODIHR reports commented on the conflict this poses with secret ballots

# Examples: Netherlands, 2006

#### Parliamentary election

- 586 candidates
- Elected at large
- Vote for one
- Party list election rules where direct election can override list order set by party caucus.



# Nedap voting machine

- 1<sup>st</sup> generation
  DRE
- Membrane keyboard behind printed ballot label
- In Dutch context, very fast – typical capacity 4 voters per minute!



# **Results Reporting**

- No networking
- Prints results to adding machine tape
- Records results in flash



memory module



**Security?** No technical safeguards.

# Nedap and Tempest

- Rop Gonggrijp showing Tempest vulnerability of Nedap machine
- Gonggrijp also proposed workable short term solution
- Dutch security services found that the competing DRE system made by SDU posed a more significant problem



# The Pollworker Control Panel

- Allegations of fraud in spring municipal elections in village of Zeeland in Brabants
- Possible that a pollworker manipulated enable switch to cancel voter's ballot just before commit



 Event logs could have helped investigation, but were not brought forward in court; we may never know what really happened.

# **RIES** for Expatriate Voters

- Rijnland Internet Election System
- Developed by academics for Rijnland Water Board elections
- Developed from a student government election system!!!
- End to end cryptographic verification
- Designed to replace postal voting
- 20,000 votes cast on RIES by expatriate voters during parliamentary elections

# The RIES "Polling Place"

- Very boring work
- Cast periodic test votes
- Open and close
   polls



#### **RIES** scheme

- Open source Javascript voting applet
- Internet voting authorization sent by post
- Applet uses keyed trapdoor function to encrypt vote (technical vote)
- Ballot box contains all votes cast, can be inspected to check that technical vote is recorded
- Codebook mapping all possible technical votes to actual votes published after polls close
- Codebook electronic signature published early!

# **RIES Help Desk**

- Wrong web browser?
- Voting authorization lost in the mail?
- In case of lost authorization, able to cancel it and issue replacement



# **RIES** Critique

- End to end verifiable
- But secret ballot properties are weak no weaker than postal ballots!
- Integrity depends crucially on fact that codebook is not leaked! Proof of non-leakage is extremely difficult.
- Ballot invalidation mechanism creates new security problems.
- Casting invalid test ballots allows audits of network interference.

# **Observing Critique**

- We failed to observe creation or secure distribution of Sailau keys
- We failed to observe pre-election configuration or testing of NEDAP machines
- We failed to observe RIES codebook generation

These <u>critical</u> processes happened before the observers were in place to see them!

Must all advanced voting tech be this way?