

# 22C:253 Lecture 8

Scribe: Ganesh Venkataraman

October 2, 2002

## Randomized Rounding

The main idea behind randomized rounding is the following

- 1 Solve the LP-relaxation in polynomial time
- 2 Interpret the solution obtained as a probability vector

This idea was proposed in the 1980s by Raghavan and Thompson to solve a problem in VLSI Design Automation. We present two examples of randomized rounding: Set Cover and MAX-SAT.

### Set Cover

We now discuss a randomized algorithm that gives a  $O(\log n)$  approximation algorithm for the set cover problem. Recall that the LP-relaxation for the set cover problem can be stated as follows:

$$\min \sum_i^k \text{cost}(S_i) * x_i$$

subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i:j \in S_i} x_i &\geq 1 \text{ for each } j \in U \\ x_i &\geq 0 \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, k \end{aligned}$$

Now carry out the following two steps

**Step 1:** Solve the LP-relaxation and let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  represent the solution.

**Step 2:** Interpret each  $x_i$  as a probability and perform the following:

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  include  $S_i$  in the solution with probability  $x_i$

Another way of stating the above is

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  do set  $y_i = 1$  with probability  $x_i$

Here  $y_i$ 's represent the integral solutions for the set cover ILP.  
Some remarks about the above chosen method:

- The elements in  $S_i$  are chosen independently

- The resulting solution need not be feasible

Now we proceed to analyze steps 1 and 2. Let  $Y = \sum_i^k \text{cost}(S_i) * y_i$ . Note that the  $y_i$ 's are all binary random variables and

$$\text{Prob}[y_i = 1] = x_i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Prob}[y_i = 0] = 1 - x_i.$$

Therefore  $E[y_i] = x_i$ . Furthermore,

$$E[Y] = E\left[\sum_i^k \text{cost}(S_i) * y_i\right] = \sum_i^k \text{cost}(S_i) * E[y_i] = \sum_i^k \text{cost}(S_i) * x_i$$

The RHS represents the optimal solution of the LP-relaxation and so  $E[Y] \leq OPT$ . where OPT represents the optimal solution of the ILP. The probability that  $j \in U$  is NOT covered is  $\prod_{i:j \in S_i} (1 - x_i)$ . Therefore the probability  $P_j$  that an element  $j \in U$  is covered is given by:

$$P_j = 1 - \prod_{i:j \in S_i} (1 - x_i)$$

It is easy to see that the quantity in the RHS is minimized when  $x_i = 1/f_j$  where  $f_j$  is the frequency of  $j$  for all  $i : j \in S_i$ . Hence,

$$P_j \geq 1 - \prod_{i:j \in S_i} (1 - 1/f_j) = 1 - (1 - 1/f_j)^{f_j}$$

Recall that for all real  $x$ ,  $e^x \geq (1 + x)$  and hence

$$e^{-1/f_j} \geq (1 - 1/f_j) \Rightarrow e^{-1} \geq (1 - 1/f_j)^{f_j}.$$

Hence,

$$P_j \geq (1 - 1/e).$$

Therefore the probability that each element is covered is at least a constant. So the expected number of elements covered is at least  $(1 - 1/e)^n$ . This fact motivates step 3 as follows:

**Step 3:** Repeat step 2  $c \log n$  times for some positive  $c$  to be fixed later.

Note that each repetition is independent of all other repetitions. Let  $C'$  be the collection of subsets thus obtained. The probability of  $j \in U$  is not covered by a subset in  $C'$  is atmost  $(1/e)^{c \log n}$ . Now pick  $c$  such that:

$$(1/e)^{c \log n} \geq 1/4n \Rightarrow e^c \leq 4$$

Therefore the probability a  $j \in U$  is not covered by a subset in  $C'$  is atmost  $1/4n$ . Let  $P_j^{C'}$  represent the probability that there exists at least one  $j \in U$  that is not covered.

$$P_j^{C'} \leq 1/4 \tag{1}$$

By using the union bound:

$$\text{Prob}[x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \dots \vee x_n] \leq \sum_i^n \text{Prob}[x_i] \tag{2}$$

We get

$$E[\text{cost}(C')] \leq c \log n * OPT \quad (3)$$

Notice that (2) is not dependent on the fact that  $x'_i$ s are all independent. Recall Markov's inequality:

$$\text{Prob}[X \geq k] \leq \frac{E[X]}{k} \quad (4)$$

By using (3) and (4) we get

$$\text{Prob}[\text{cost}(C') \geq 4c \log n * OPT] \leq 1/4 \quad (5)$$

We need two things:

- The cost( $C'$ ) should be less than  $4c \log n * OPT$
- $C'$  should be feasible

Let the probability that the above two things happen be  $P_{desired}$ . Then from (1) and (5) it is easy to see that:

$$P_{desired} \geq 1/2 \quad (6)$$

Now simply run steps 2 and 3 until the above conditions are met. By (6) we expect to repeat steps 2 and 3 not more than 2 times.

**Note:** OPT in (5) actually refers to the optimal solution of the ILP which is in calculable. However we could use the optimal solution of the LP-relaxation for practical purposes.

## MAX-SAT

**Input:** A boolean formula  $f$  in CNF defined on boolean variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and associated with each clause  $c$  of  $f$  a weight  $w_c$ .

**Output:** A truth assignment to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  that maximizes the weight of satisfied clauses.

We now discuss a simple randomized algorithm that give a  $1/2$  factor approximation (We shall later use this to produce a  $3/4$  factor approximation scheme)

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  do

Set  $x_i = TRUE$  with probability  $1/2$  (independently)

Let  $W$  be the sum of the weights of satisfied edges:

$$E[W] = E\left[\sum_{c \in C} W_c\right]$$

where

$$W_c = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c \text{ is not satisfied} \\ w_c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$E[W_c] = w_c(1 - 1/2^k)$$

where  $k$  represents the number of literals in clause  $c$ . Since  $k \geq 1$ ,  $(1 - 1/2^k) \geq 1/2$ . Hence

$$E[W_c] \geq w_c/2$$

Therefore

$$E[W] \geq \sum_{c \in C} \frac{w_c}{2} \geq \frac{OPT}{2}$$

since

$$OPT \leq \sum_{c \in C} w_c$$