## 22c253 Algorithms in discrete Opt

## C. Choi

## November 14, 2002

From  $F_t$  get I, which are permanently open facilities by finding maximal independent set. Then we have to determine the connection  $\phi$ .

Consider a city  $j \in C$ ,  $Y_j = \{i \in F | B_{ij} > 0\}$ .

- 1. If  $|X_i \cap I| = 1$  then set  $\phi(j) = i$  where  $i \in X_i \cap I$ .
- 2. Otherwise, let i' be the connecting witness for j.  $i' \in I$  then set  $\phi(j) = i'$ .
- 3. Otherwise (i.e.  $i' \in I$ ) there is a neighbor (in H) of i' in I. Call this neighbor i'' and set  $\phi(j) = i''$ .

Claim: The dual feasible solution  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and the integral primal feasible solution  $(I, \phi)$  satisfy the slackness condition listed.

Proof: Suppose that  $y_i$  and  $x_{ij}$  denote the feasible solution after phase 2.

- (1)  $y_i$  implies  $i \in I$ . Since  $I \in F_t$  and  $F_t$  only contain facility  $i \in F$  s.t.  $\sum \beta_{ij} = F_t$  and furthermore, since  $\beta_{ij}$  do not increase once  $i \in F_t$ . Therefore this is true.
- (4) Suppose that for some  $i \in F, j \in C : \beta_{ij} > 0$ . If  $y_i = 0$ , then clearly

If  $y_i = 1$ , then is must be the case that for any  $i' \in F$  s.t.  $\beta_{ij} > 0$ , then

- $\Rightarrow \phi(j)$  is set to i in step 1. Therefore  $x_{ij} = 1$ .
- (2) Consider  $i \in F, j \in C : x_{ij} > 0$ . This implies that  $x_{ij} = 1$ .
- (i.e.) city i is the connected to facility j.

This connection can be in  $(1^{\tilde{}}3)$  of the steps defining  $\phi$ . If the connections made in (1) then  $\alpha_{j-}\beta_{ij}=C_{ij}$ . When the connection is made in (3), there are some other cities that are making positive contribution to i'. We need to show that :  $\alpha_i \geq C_{ij}/3$ .

(Figure 1 comes into this part.)

ftbpF3.8579in2.4829in0ptfig1.gif

Assume that we increase  $\alpha_i$  simultaneously, say that i was thrown into  $F_t$ at time  $t_1$  and i' and  $t_2$ .

Claim:  $\alpha_i \geq t_2 \Rightarrow \alpha_j \geq \alpha_{j'}$ .  $\alpha_j \geq C_{i'j}$  because  $\alpha_j - \beta = C_{ij'}$ .  $\alpha_{j'} > C_{ij'}$ . These imply  $\alpha_j > C_{i'j'}$  and  $\alpha_j > C_{ij'}$ .

Also  $\alpha_j \geq C_{i'j} \Rightarrow 3\alpha_j \geq C_{ij} + C_{i'j} + C_{i'j'} \geq C_{ij}$ .

Therefore  $\alpha_j \geq C_{ij}/3$ .

Next topic is approximation algorithm using Semidefinite-Programming by goemans and Williamson 1995.

Example: Max-Cut

Imput: A graph G = (V, E)

Outout: A partition of V into  $(S, \overline{S})$  s.t. sum of crossing edge weights is maximized.

Suppose for each vertex  $i \in V$ , we have a variable  $y_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ , where  $y_i = 1$  if  $i \in S$ .  $y_i = -1$  otherwise. And  $S \cup \overline{S} = V$ .

 $\rightarrow i, j$  in the same set then  $y_i y_j = 1$  and  $(1 - y_i y_j)/2 = 0$ .  $\rightarrow i, j$  not in the same set then  $y_i y_j = -1$  and  $(1 - y_i y_j)/2 = 1$ .

We would like to solve the following program.  $\max \sum_{(i,j)} \frac{(1-y_i y_j)}{2} w_{ij} \text{ where } y_i \in \{i,j\} \text{ or } y_i^2 = 1. \text{ This is a strict quadratic program. To relax this problem, we solve } \max \sum_{(i,j)} \frac{(1-v_i v_j)}{2} w_{ij} \text{ where } v_i \text{ are n-dimensional vectors s.t. } v_i v_i = 1.$